

June 12, 2020

BP Products North America, Inc. Whiting Refinery 2815 Indianapolis Blvd. Whiting, IN 46394

Attention: Mr. Joseph Schuler

Subject: Engineering Emission Test

FCCU 500 Exhaust

BP Products North America, Inc.: Whiting, Indiana

Document No. M024AS-748176-RT-589

Dear Mr. Schuler:

Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC (Montrose) was contracted by BP Products North America, Inc. (BP) to conduct a particulate matter (PM) engineering emission test on the Fluidized Catalytic Cracking Unit (FCCU) 500 stack at their refinery located in Whiting, Indiana.

Testing was conducted on May 27, 2020 during an alternate ESP operating scenario to determine the concentrations and emission rates of total PM and PM under 10 microns (PM₁₀), including condensable PM (CPM).

Testing was conducted generally pursuant to the following procedures:

- Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 60 (40 CFR 60), Appendix A, USEPA Methods 1-4
- 40 CFR 51, Appendix M, USEPA Methods 201A and 202

Two engineering test runs were conducted with samples collected simultaneously in two Method 201A/202 sampling trains (four samples total). The first test repetition was 120 minutes in duration. The second test repetition was shortened to 90 minutes due to adverse weather that was approaching the facility.

Train A was sampled from the northwest sample port, and Train B was sampled from the southwest sample port. A full USEPA Method 1 traverse was not conducted during sampling. During each test run, the probe was traversed at the Method 1 test points across the single port in which it was inserted.

Mr. Joseph Schuler of BP coordinated the test and monitored process conditions. Testing was conducted by Mr. Steve Flaherty, Mr. Vannak Khy, Mr. Matthew Krueger, and Mr. Urbano Leos of Montrose. Mr. Steve Flaherty was the onsite field test supervisor and qualified source testing individual for the test.

BP Products North America, Inc.: Whiting, Indiana May 2020 FCCU 500 Engineering Emission Test

The test results are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The calculation summaries, field data, and laboratory data are included in the attachments.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (847) 487-1580 Ext. 12417 or sflaherty@montrose-env.com.

Respectfully submitted,

Steve Flaherty

Midwest District Manager

Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC

SF:do

Attachments

TABLE 1
FCCU 500 STACK PM₁₀ AND TOTAL PM ENGINEERING TEST RESULTS (RUN 1)

TEST RUN NO. :	1-A	1-B	
TEST DATE :	5/27/2020	5/27/2020	
TEST TIME :	08:50-10:50	08:50-10:52	Average
Stack Gas Parameters			
Temperature, °F	510.9	510.0	510.5
Velocity, av. ft/sec	82.3	83.5	82.9
Volumetric flow, acfm	314,163	318,572	316,367
Volumetric flow, scfm	166,832	169,352	168,092
Volumetric flow, scfh	10,009,911	10,161,093	10,085,502
Volumetric flow, dscfm	133,017	135,060	134,038
Volumetric flow, dscfh	7,981,007	8,103,588	8,042,297
Mass flow, Mlb/hr db	644.4	654.3	649.4
Moisture, av. % vol.	20.3	20.2	20.3
Molecular weight, lb/lb-mole db	31.1	31.1	31.1
Carbon dioxide, av. % vol. db	19.0	19.0	19.0
Oxygen, av. % vol. db	1.7	1.7	1.7
Oxygen, av. 70 vol. ub	1.7	1.7	1.7
Particulate Sample			
Time, min.	120.33	122.80	121.57
Volume, dscf	36.124	39.247	37.685
> PM ₁₀ , mg	34.75	36.45	35.60
Filterable PM ₁₀ , mg	127.2	129.6	128.4
Condensable PM ₁₀ , mg	8.40	10.85	9.63
Total PM ₁₀ , mg	135.6	140.5	138.0
Isokinetic ratio, %	97.9	102.7	100.3
D ₅₀ cutpoint, μm	10.57	10.12	10.35
D ₅₀ cutρoint, μm	10.57	10.12	10.55
Filterable PM ₁₀			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0543	0.0510	0.0526
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	7.764	7.281	7.523
mg/dscm	124.351	116.617	120.484
Emission rate			0
lb/hr	61.966	59.005	60.486
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	1.722	1.639	1.680
ib/ 1,000 ib coke barri	1.722	1.000	1.000
Condensable PM ₁₀			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0036	0.0043	0.0039
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.513	0.610	0.561
mg/dscm	8.212	9.763	8.987
Emission rate			
lb/hr	4.092	4.940	4.516
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	0.114	0.137	0.125
•			
Total PM ₁₀			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0579	0.0552	0.0566
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	8.277	7.891	8.084
mg/dscm	132.563	126.380	129.471
Emission rate			
lb/hr	66.058	63.945	65.002
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	1.835	1.777	1.806



TABLE 1 (CONTINUED) FCCU 500 STACK PM₁₀ AND TOTAL PM ENGINEERING TEST RESULTS (RUN 1)

TEST RUN NO. : TEST DATE :	1-A	1-B	
TEST TIME :	5/27/2020 08:50-10:50	5/27/2020 08:50-10:50	Average
Filterable > PM ₁₀			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0148	0.0143	0.0146
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	2.121	2.048	2.085
mg/dscm	33.972	32.799	33.385
Emission rate			
lb/hr	16.929	16.595	16.762
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	0.470	0.461	0.466
Total PM (PM ₁₀ + > PM ₁₀)			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0728	0.0695	0.0711
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	10.398	9.939	10.169
mg/dscm	166.534	159.179	162.857
Emission rate			
lb/hr	82.987	80.540	81.764
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	2.306	2.238	2.272

TABLE 2 FCCU 500 STACK PM₁₀ AND TOTAL PM ENGINEERING TEST RESULTS (RUN 2)

TEST RUN NO. :	2-A	2-B	
TEST DATE :	5/27/2020	5/27/2020	_
TEST TIME :	12:17-13:47	12:17-13:50	Average
Stack Gas Parameters			
Temperature, °F	513.6	510.0	511.8
Velocity, av. ft/sec	82.6	84.9	83.7
Volumetric flow, acfm	315,193	324,118	319,656
Volumetric flow, scfm	166,915	172,300	169,607
Volumetric flow, scfh	10,014,892	10,337,994	10,176,443
Volumetric flow, dscfm	134,379	137,867	136,123
Volumetric flow, dscfh	8,062,711	8,272,031	8,167,371
Mass flow, MIb/hr db	651.0	667.9	659.5
Moisture, av. % vol.	19.5	20.0	19.7
Molecular weight, lb/lb-mole db	31.1	31.1	31.1
Carbon dioxide, av. % vol. db	19.0	19.0	19.0
Oxygen, av. % vol. db	1.7	1.7	1.7
exygen, at 70 ten as			
Particulate Sample			
Time, min.	90.70	93.30	92.00
Volume, dscf	27.664	29.043	28.353
> PM ₁₀ , mg	22.30	28.60	25.45
Filterable PM ₁₀ , mg	89.0	83.6	86.3
Condensable PM ₁₀ , mg	5.50	6.30	5.90
Total PM ₁₀ , mg	94.5	89.9	92.2
Isokinetic ratio, %	98.5	98.0	98.3
D ₅₀ cutpoint, μm	10.54	10.34	10.44
Filterable PM ₁₀			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0496	0.0444	0.0470
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	7.090	6.343	6.717
mg/dscm	113.552	101.594	107.573
Emission rate			
lb/hr	57.164	52.472	54.818
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	1.589	1.458	1.523
Condensable PM ₁₀			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0031	0.0033	0.0032
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.438	0.478	0.458
mg/dscm	7.021	7.661	7.341
Emission rate			
lb/hr	3.535	3.957	3.746
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	0.098	0.110	0.104
Total PM ₁₀			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0527	0.0477	0.0502
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	7.528	6.822	7.175
mg/dscm	120.573	109.255	114.914
Emission rate	120.070	.00.200	111.011
lb/hr	60.699	56.429	58.564
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	1.687	1.568	1.628

TABLE 2 (CONTINUED) FCCU 500 STACK PM₁₀ AND TOTAL PM ENGINEERING TEST RESULTS (RUN 2)

TEST RUN NO. :	2-A	2-B	
TEST DATE : TEST TIME :	5/27/2020 12:17-13:47	5/27/2020 12:17-13:50	Average
Filterable > PM ₁₀			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0124	0.0152	0.0138
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	1.777	2.171	1.974
mg/dscm	28.468	34.777	31.622
Emission rate			
lb/hr	14.331	17.962	16.147
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	0.398	0.499	0.449
Total PM (PM ₁₀ + > PM ₁₀)			
Concentration			
grains/dscf	0.0651	0.0629	0.0640
x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	9.306	8.993	9.150
mg/dscm	149.041	144.032	146.537
Emission rate			
lb/hr	75.030	74.391	74.711
lb/1,000 lb coke burn	2.085	2.067	2.076

ATTACHMENT A CALCULATION SUMMARIES



USEPA Method 2 Volumetric Flow Rate Sample Calculations (Circular Ducts)

Client:

BP

Location:

Whiting, IN

Source:

FCCU 500 5/27/2020

Date: Run #:

Data Input

Carbon Dioxide (CO2):

19.0 % Oxygen (O2): 1.7 %

Nitrogen (N₂): 79.3 %

Fractional Moisture Content (Bwo) 0.2027 Stack Temperature (T_s): 510.9 °F

Pitot Coefficient (Cp): 0.84 dimensionless Average square root of ΔP 1.0605 inches H₂O Barometric Pressure (Pbar): 29.29 inches Hg Static Pressure (S,) -1.00 inches H₂O

Stack diameter: 108.00 inches H₂O

Stack area (As):

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Dry molecular weight of stack gas:

$$M_d = (0.44 \times \%CO_2) + (0.32 \times \%O_2) + (0.28 \times \%N_2)$$
 = 31.108 lb/lb-mole

63.6172 ft²

Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis:

$$M_s = (M_d \times (1 - B_{ws})) + (18 \times B_{ws})$$
 = 28.451 lb/lb-mole

Absolute stack gas pressure:

$$P_s = P_{bar} + \left(\frac{S_t}{13.6}\right) = 29.216 \text{ inches H}_2O$$

Stack gas velocity:

$$V_{s} = 85.49 \times C_{p} \times \sqrt{\Delta P} \times \sqrt{\frac{(T_{s} + 460)}{(P_{s} \times M_{s})}}$$
 = 82.305 feet/second

Stack gas volumetric flow rate:

$$Q_a = A_s \times V_s \times 60$$
 = 314,163 acfm

Stack gas volumetric flow rate, wet basis:

$$Q_{sw} = Q_a \times \left[\left(\frac{528^{\circ}R}{29.92\text{in.Hg}} \right) \times \left(\frac{P_s}{T_s + 460} \right) \right]$$
= 166,832 scfm

$$Q_{sw} = Q_a \times \left[\left(\frac{528^{\circ}R}{29.92in.Hg} \right) \times \left(\frac{P_s}{T_s + 460} \right) \right] \times 60$$
 = 10,009,911 scfh

Stack gas volumetric flow rate, dry basis:

$$Q_{std} = Q_{sw} \times (1 - B_{wo})$$
 = 133,017 dscfm

$$Q_{std} = Q_{sw} \times (1 - B_{wo}) \times 60$$
 = 7,981,007 dscfh

USEPA Method 4 Moisture Determination Sample Calculations

Client:

ВP

Location:

Whiting, IN FCCU 500

Source:

5/27/2020

Date: Run #:

4 A

Data Input:

Volume metered (V_m):

Meter inlet/outlet temperature (T_m):

Volume of moisture collected (V_{ic}): Stack Temperature (T_s):

Static Pressure (St):

101.5 °F 195.1 milliliters

510.9 °F

39.405 ft³

-1.0 inches H₂O

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Volume of sample, dry basis:

$$Vm_{std} = V_m \times Y_d \times \left(\frac{528.0^{\circ} R}{29.92" Hg}\right) \times \left(\frac{P_{bar} + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}}{T_m + 460}\right) = 36.124 dscf$$

Volume of water vapor in sample:

$$Vw_{std} = \frac{0.04707ft^3}{ml} \times V_{lc}$$
 = 9.183 scf

Fractional moisture content of stack gas:

$$B_{wo} = \frac{VW_{std}}{(Vm_{std} + VW_{std})} = 0.2027 B_{wo}$$

Percent Moisture:

%moisture =
$$B_{wo} \times 100$$
 = 20.27 %

Fractional moisture content of stack gas at saturated conditions:

$$T_{s(^{e}K)} = ((T_s - 32) * 0.5556) + 273$$
 = 539.1 °Kelvin

$$P_{s(mmHg)} = \left(P_{bar} + \frac{S_t}{13.6}\right) \times 25.401$$
 = 744.00 mm Hg

$$B_{wos} = \frac{\sqrt{10^{\left(A \left(\frac{B}{(T_{s(rk)}-C)}\right)}\right)}}{P_{s(mmHg)}}$$

$$= \frac{A = 8.361}{B = 1893.5}$$

$$C = 27.65$$
where:

A = 8.361

B = 1893.5

C = 27.65

Percent moisture at saturated conditions:

%moisture_{saturated} =
$$B_{wos} \times 100$$
 = 100.00 %

Percent moisture used for emissions calculations:

= 20.27 %

USEPA Method 201A PM_{10} Emissions D₅₀ Cutpoint Calculation Summary

Client:

ΒP

Location: Source:

Whiting, IN FCCU 500

Date:

5/27/2020

Run #:

1-A

Data Input

Stack temperature (T_s): Fractional Moisture content (Bws):

Oxygen (O2):

510.9 °F 0.2027 1.7 %

Stack pressure (Ps):

29.22 Inches Hg Abs.

Volume metered (Vm_{std}): Volume ot water vapor (Vwstd): 36.124 dscf 9.183 sct

Molecular weight of gas, wet basis (Ms):

28.451 lb/lb-mole

Test length (θ):

120.33 minutes

10.0 microns

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Stack gas viscosity:

$$\mu = -150.3162 + \left(13.4622 \times \sqrt{\left(T_s + 460\right)}\right) + \left(3.86153 \times 10^6 \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(0.591123 \times \left(\%O_{2,wet}\right)\right) - \left(91.9723 \times B_{ws}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times B_{ws} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)^{-2}\right)$$

258.31 micropoise

Sample flow rate @ standard conditions:

$$Q_{\text{sSt}} = \frac{V_{\text{matd}}}{\theta}$$

0.300 dscfm

Sample flow rate through PM 10 cyclone:

$$Q_{s} = \frac{29.92}{528} \times Q_{sSt} \times \left(\frac{1}{(1-B_{ws})}\right) \times \left(\frac{[T_{s} + 460]}{P_{s}}\right)$$

0.709 cfm

Calculated Reynolds Number

$$N_{re} = 8.64 \times 10^{5} \times \left(\frac{P_{s} \times M_{s}}{(T_{s} + 460)} \right) \times \left(\frac{Q_{s}}{\mu} \right)$$

2030

Cunningham Correction Factor

C=1+0.0057193×
$$\left(\frac{\mu}{P_{s} \times D_{p}}\right)$$
× $\left(\left(\frac{[T_{s} + 460]}{M_{s}}\right)^{0.50}\right)$

1.0295

 D_{50} cutpoint (for Cyclone I):

$$D_{so} = \left(0.15625 \times \left(\frac{\left[T_s + 460\right]}{\left(M_s \times P_s\right)}\right)^{0.2091}\right) \times \left(\frac{\mu}{Q_s}\right)^{7091}$$

10.575 μm

USEPA Method 202 Condensible Particulate Calculation Summary

COMPANY: BP

LOCATION: Whiting, IN SOURCE: FCCU 500 TEST DATE: 5/27/20 RUN NUMBER: 1-A

Data Input:

V _m :	39.405	ft ³	Q_s :	133,017	dscfm
γ FACTOR:	0.995		T _s :	510.9	°F
P _{bar} :	29.29	in.Hg	Runtime:	120.33	minutes
ΔH:	0.35	in.H₂O	V _s :	82.305	ft/sec
T _m :	101.5	°F	P _s :	29.22	in.Hg
V _{Ic} :	195.1	mL	Noz. diam:	0.164	inches
N:	0.0000		m _{ib} :	0.00	mg
V _t :	0.00	mL	m _{ob} :	0.00	mg
m _r :	6.80	mg			
m _o :	1.60	mg			

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Volume of sample at standard conditions:

$$V_{\text{mstd}} = \left(\frac{528}{29.92}\right) \times V_{\text{m}} \times \gamma \left[\frac{P_{\text{bar}} + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}}{T_{\text{m}}}\right] = 36.124 \quad \text{dscf}$$

Mass of ammonia correction:

$$m_c = 17.03 \times V_T \times N$$
 = 0.00 mg

Mass of the field blank:

$$m_{\rm fb} = m_{\rm ib} + m_{\rm ob} \qquad = \qquad \qquad 0.00 \qquad mg$$

Mass of inorganic condensible PM:

$$m_i = m_r - m_c = 6.80$$
 mg

Total mass of condensible PM:

$$m_{cpm} = m_i + m_o - m_{fb}$$
 = 8.40 mg

USEPA Method 201A PM_{10} Emissions **Particulate Calculation Summary**

Client:

Location: Source:

BP Whiting, IN FCCU 500 5/27/2020

Date:

Run#:

Barometric pressure (P _{bar}):	29.29 inches Hg	Particulate Weight:	
Stack pressure (P _s):	29.22 Inches Hg Abs.	<pm10 (filterable)<="" th=""><th>113.55 milligrams</th></pm10>	113.55 milligrams
est length (0):	120.33 minutes	>PM10 (Cyclone Catch)	34.75 milligrams
ample nozzle diameter (D _n):	0.1640 inches	,	
ample nozzle area (A _n):	0.000147 ft ³	<pm10 (probe="" td="" wash)<=""><td>13.65 milligrams</td></pm10>	13.65 milligrams
tack temperature (T _s): olume metered (Vm _{std}):	510.9 °F 36.124 ft ³	Total PM ₁₀ front halt:	127.20 milligrams
tack gas velocity (V _s):	82.305 feet/second	Total PM front half	161.95 milligrams
teck gas volumetric flow (Q _{std}):	7,981,007 dsct/hour	Total corrected PM ₁₀ back half:	8.40 milligrams
ractional moisture content (B _{wo}):	0.2027		
oke Burn Rate (R _c):	35,993 lb/hr	Totel PM_{10} weight (M_n) : Totel PM weight (M_n) :	135.60 milligrams 170.35 milligrams (>PM ₁₀ + PM ₁₀)
ample calculations @ standard co	nditions (29.92 inches Hg, 88	3.0 °F):	
ercent Isokinetic:			
$\% \text{sokinetic} = \frac{0.0945 \times Vm_{\text{std}} \times (T_s + 46)}{P_s \times V_s \times \theta \times A_n \times (1 - B_{\text{wx}})}$	<u>0)</u>	=	97.9 % isokinetic
		-	97.9 % ISOKITIETIC
M ₁₀ and Total Particulate emission rate (i	lb/dscf):		
		=	0.0728 total PM gr/dscf
		=	0.0148 >PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
(0.01543grains M		=	0.0543 filterable PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
$C_s = \frac{\left(\frac{0.01543 \text{grains}}{\text{mg}} \times M_n\right)}{V_{\text{mdd}}}$		=	0.0036 condensible PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
V _{msld}		=	0.0579 PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
		=	166.634 total PM mg/dscm
		=	33.972 >PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
$C_s = \frac{M_n}{V_{model}} \times \frac{35.315 \text{ft}^3}{\text{m}^3}$		=	124.351 filterable PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
V _{mstd} m ³		=	8.212 condensible PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
		×	132.563 PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
		=	10.398 x 10 ⁻⁶ total PM lb/dscf
		=	2.121 x 10 ⁻⁶ >PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
$(2.205 \times 10^{-6} lb)$		=	7.764 x 10 ⁻⁶ filterable PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
$E_{p} = \frac{\left(\frac{2.205 \times 10^{-6} lb}{mg} \times M_{n}\right)}{V_{mstd}}$		~	0.513 x 10 ⁻⁶ condensible PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
$E_p = \frac{V}{V}$		=	
v mstd		=	8.277 x 10 ⁻⁶ PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
f ₁₀ and Total Particulate emission rate (I	b/hr):		
		2 .	82.987 total PM lb/hr
E 01 0		=	16.929 >PM ₁₀ lb/hr
$E_p = C_s^1 \times Q_{std}$		=	61.968 filterable PM ₁₀ lb/hr
		a	4.092 condensible PM ₁₀ lb/hr
		=	66.058 PM ₁₀ lb/hr
		#	2.306 total PM lb/1000lb coke burn
(F.)(400F)		=	0.470 >PM ₁₀ lb/1000lb coke burn
$mr_{lb/1000lbcokebum} = \frac{(E_p)(1000)}{(R_c)}$		±	1.722 filterable PM ₁₀ lb/1000lb coke burn
(R _c)		· ·	0.114 condensible PM ₁₀ lb/1000 coke bur

1.835 PM₁₀ lb/1000 coke burn

USEPA Method 2 Volumetric Flow Rate Sample Calculations (Circular Ducts)

Client:

BP

Location:

Whiting, IN

Source:

FCCU 500 5/27/2020

Date: Run #:

1-B

Data Input

Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂):	19.0 %
Oxygen (O ₂):	1.7 %
Nitrogen (N₂):	79.3 %
Fractional Moisture Content (Bwo)	0.2025
Stack Temperature (T _s):	510.0 °F
Pitot Coefficient (C _p):	0.84 dim

 $\begin{array}{llll} \mbox{Pitot Coefficient } (\mbox{C_p}): & 0.84 \mbox{ dimensionless} \\ \mbox{Average square root of ΔP} & 1.0760 \mbox{ inches H_2O} \\ \mbox{Barometric Pressure } (\mbox{P_{bar}}): & 29.29 \mbox{ inches Hg} \\ \mbox{Static Pressure } (\mbox{S_t}) & -0.95 \mbox{ inches H_2O} \\ \mbox{Stack diameter:} & 108.00 \mbox{ inches H_2O} \\ \mbox{} \end{array}$

Stack area (A_s):

63.6172 ft²

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Dry molecular weight of stack gas:

$$M_d = (0.44 \times \%CO_2) + (0.32 \times \%O_2) + (0.28 \times \%N_2)$$
 = 31.108 lb/lb-mole

Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis:

$$M_s = (M_d \times (1 - B_{ws})) + (18 \times B_{ws})$$
 = 28.454 lb/lb-mole

Absolute stack gas pressure:

$$P_{s} = P_{bar} + \left(\frac{S_{t}}{13.6}\right)$$
 = 29.220 inches H₂O

Stack gas velocity:

$$V_{s} = 85.49 \times C_{p} \times \sqrt{\Delta P} \times \sqrt{\frac{(T_{s} + 460)}{(P_{s} \times M_{s})}} = 83.461 \text{ feet/second}$$

Stack gas volumetric flow rate:

$$Q_a = A_s \times V_s \times 60$$
 = 318,572 acfm

Stack gas volumetric flow rate, wet basis:

$$Q_{sw} = Q_a \times \left[\left(\frac{528^{\circ}R}{29.92 \text{in.Hg}} \right) \times \left(\frac{P_s}{T_s + 460} \right) \right]$$
 = 169,352 scfm

$$Q_{sw} = Q_a \times \left[\left(\frac{528^{\circ}R}{29.92\text{in.Hg}} \right) \times \left(\frac{P_s}{T_s + 460} \right) \right] \times 60$$
 = 10,161,093 scfh

Stack gas volumetric flow rate, dry basis:

$$Q_{\rm std} = Q_{\rm sw} \times (1 - B_{\rm wo})$$
 = 135,060 dscfm
 $Q_{\rm std} = Q_{\rm sw} \times (1 - B_{\rm wo}) \times 60$ = 8,103,588 dscfh

USEPA Method 4 Moisture Determination Sample Calculations

Client:

BP

Location:

Whiting, IN

Source:

FCCU 500 5/27/2020

Date: Run#:

1-B

Data Input:

Volume metered (V_m):

Meter calibration coefficient (Yd):

Barometric pressure (Pbar):

Meter sample rate (ΔH):

Meter inlet/outlet temperature (T_m):

Volume of moisture collected (Vic):

Stack Temperature (T_s):

Static Pressure (St):

42.506 ft³

1.009 dimensionless

29.29 inches Hg

0.32 inches H₂O

105.3 °F

211.7 milliliters

510.0 °F

-1.0 inches H₂O

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Volume of sample, dry basis:

$$Vm_{std} = V_m \times Y_d \times \left(\frac{528.0^{\circ} R}{29.92"Hg}\right) \times \left(\frac{P_{bar} + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}}{T_m + 460}\right)$$

39.247 dscf

Volume of water vapor in sample:

$$Vw_{std} = \frac{0.04707ft^3}{ml} \times V_{lc}$$

=

9.965 scf

Fractional moisture content of stack gas:

$$B_{wo} = \frac{Vw_{std}}{\left(Vm_{std} + Vw_{std}\right)}$$

0.2025 B_{wo}

Percent Moisture:

%moisture = $B_{wo} \times 100$

20.25 %

Fractional moisture content of stack gas at saturated conditions:

$$T_{s(^{9}K)} = ((T_{s} - 32) * 0.5556) + 273$$

538.6 °Kelvin

$$P_{\text{s(mmHg)}} = \left(P_{\text{bar}} + \frac{S_t}{13.6}\right) \times 25.401$$

-

744.00 mm Hg

$$B_{wos} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(10^{\left(A\left(\frac{B}{\left(T_{s(w)}-C\right)}\right)}\right)}}{P_{s(mmHn)}} \qquad where: A= 8.36$$

$$B=1893$$

$$C=27.6$$

2

1.00

Percent moisture at saturated conditions:

$$moisture_{saturated} = B_{wos} \times 100$$

100.00 %

Percent moisture used for emissions calculations:

20.25 %

USEPA Method 201A PM₁₀ Emissions D₅₀ Cutpoint Calculation Summary

Client:

Location:

Whiting, IN FCCU 500

Source: Date:

5/27/2020

Run #:

Data Input

Stack temperature (T_s): Fractional Moisture content (Bws):

Oxygen (O2):

0.2025 1.7 %

Stack pressure (Ps): Volume metered (Vm_{std}): 29.22 Inches Hg Abs.

Volume of water vapor (Vw_{std}):

39.247 dscf 9.965 sct

Molecular weight of gas, wet basis (Ms):

28.454 lb/lb-mole 122.80 minutes

Test length (θ):

10.0 microns

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Stack gas viscosity:

$$\mu = -150.3162 + \left(13.4622 \times \sqrt{(T_s + 460)}\right) + \left(3.86153 \times 10^6 \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(0.591123 \times (\%O_{2,wet})\right) - \left(91.9723 \times B_{ws}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-6} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) +$$

258.1 micropoise

Sampla flow rate @ standard conditions:

$$Q_{\text{sSt}} = \frac{V_{\text{mstd}}}{\theta}$$

0.320 dscfm

Sample flow rate through PM 10 cyclone:

$$Q_s = \frac{29.92}{528} \times Q_{sst} \times \left(\frac{1}{(1-B_{ws})}\right) \times \left(\frac{[T_s + 460]}{P_s}\right)$$

0.754 cfm

Calculated Reynolds Number

$$N_{re} = 8.64 \times 10^5 \times \left(\frac{P_s \times M_s}{(T_s + 460)} \right) \times \left(\frac{Q_s}{\mu} \right)$$

2163

Cunningham Correction Factor

$$C = 1 + 0.0057193 \times \left(\frac{\mu}{P_s \times D_p}\right) \times \left(\left(\frac{\left[T_s + 460\right]}{M_s}\right)^{0.50}\right)$$

1.030

 D_{50} cutpoint (for Cyclone I):

$$D_{50} = \left(0.15625 \times \left(\frac{\left[T_{s} + 460\right]}{\left(M_{s} \times P_{s}\right)}\right)^{0.2091}\right) \times \left(\frac{\mu}{Q_{s}}\right)^{7.091}$$

10.118 μm

USEPA Method 202 Condensible Particulate Calculation Summary

COMPANY: BP

LOCATION: Whiting, IN SOURCE: FCCU 500 TEST DATE: 5/27/20 RUN NUMBER: 1-B

Data Input:

V _m :	42.506	ft ³	Q _s :	135,060	dscfm
γ FACTOR:	1.009		T _s :	510.0	°F
P _{bar} :	29.29	in.Hg	Runtime:	122.80	minutes
ΔH:	0.32	in.H ₂ O	V _s :	83.461	ft/sec
T _m :	105.3	°F	P _s :	29.22	in.Hg
V _{ic} :	211.7	mL	Noz. diam:	0.164	inches
N:	0.0000		m _{ib} :	0.00	mg
V _t :	0.23	mL	m _{ob} :	0.00	mg
m _r :	9.35	mg			_
m _o :	1.50	mg			

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Volume of sample at standard conditions:

$$V_{\text{mstd}} = \left(\frac{528}{29.92}\right) \times V_{\text{m}} \times \gamma \left[\frac{P_{\text{bar}} + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}}{T_{\text{m}}}\right] = 39.247 \quad \text{dscf}$$

Mass of ammonia correction:

$$m_c = 17.03 \times V_T \times N$$
 = 0.00 mg

Mass of the field blank:

$$m_{\rm fb} = m_{\rm ib} + m_{\rm ob} \qquad = \qquad \qquad 0.00 \qquad \text{mg}$$

Mass of inorganic condensible PM:

$$m_i = m_r - m_c$$
 = 9.35 mg

Total mass of condensible PM:

$$m_{\text{cpm}} = m_{\text{i}} + m_{\text{o}} - m_{\text{fb}} \qquad \qquad = \qquad \qquad 10.85 \qquad \text{mg}$$

USEPA Method 201A PM10 Emissions Particulate Calculation Summary

Client: Whiting, IN FCCU 500 Location: Source: Date: 5/27/2020 Run #: 1-B Data Input
Barometric pressure (P_{bar}):

29.29 inches Hg Particulate Weight: Barometric pressure $\{P_{\rm bar}\}$: Stack pressure $\{P_{\rm p}\}$: Tast length $\{\theta\}$: Sample nozzle diameter $\{D_{\rm n}\}$: Sample nozzle area $\{A_{\rm n}\}$: Stack temperature $\{T_{\rm s}\}$: Volume metered $\{Vm_{\rm std}\}$: Stack gas velocity $\{V_{\rm s}\}$: Stack gas volumetric flow $\{Q_{\rm std}\}$: Fractional Moisture content $\{B_{\rm wo}\}$: 29.22 Inches Hg Abs. <PM10 (Filterable) 118.50 milligrams 122.80 minutes 0.1640 inches 0.000147 ft³ 510.0 °F 39.247 ft³ 36.45 milligrams >PM10 (Cyclone Catch) <PM10 (Probe Wash) 11.10 milligrams Total PM₁₀ front half: 129.60 milligrams 83.461 feet/second 8,103,588 dsct/hour Total PM front half 166.05 milligrams Total corrected PM₁₀ back half: 10.85 milligrams 0.2025 Total PM₁₀ weight (M_n): Coke Burn Rate (Rc): 35,993 lb/hr 140.45 milligrams

•	Total PM weight (M _n):	176.90 milligrams (>PM ₁₀ + PM ₁₀)
Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):		
Percent Isokinetic:	:	
961 sokinatio $0.0945 \times Vm_{std} \times (T_s + 460)$		
$\% l \text{sokinetic} = \frac{0.0945 \times V m_{\text{ad}} \times \left(T_s + 460\right)}{P_s \times V_s \times 0 \times A_n \times \left(1 - B_{wo}\right)}$	=	102.7 % isokinetic
PM 10 and Total Particulate emission rate (lb/dscf):		
	=	0.0695 total PM gr/dscf
	×	0.0143 >PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
$C_s = \frac{\left(\frac{0.01543 \text{grains}}{\text{mg}} \times M_n\right)}{V_{\text{mod}}}$	=	0.0510 filterable PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
$C_{o} = \frac{mg}{mg}$	=	0.0043 condensible PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
* V _{mstd}	=	0.0652 PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
	=	159.179 total PM mg/dscm
M 25 245 #3	=	32.799 >PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
$C_s = \frac{M_h}{V_{metal}} \times \frac{35.315 \text{ft}^3}{m^3}$	=	116.617 filterable PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
mstd	¥	9.763 condensible PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
	=	126.380 PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
	=	9.939 x 10 ⁻⁶ total PM lb/dscf
(2.205×10.6b)	=	2.048 x 10 ⁻⁶ >PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
$E_{p} = \frac{\left(\frac{2.205 \times 10^{-6} \text{lb}}{\text{mg}} \times M_{\text{m}}\right)}{V_{\text{med}}}$	=	7.281 x 10 ⁻⁶ filterable PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
$E_{\rm p} = \frac{V_{\rm motol}}{V_{\rm motol}}$	a	0.610 x 10 ⁻⁶ condensible PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
	=	7.891 x 10 ⁻⁶ PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
PM ₁₀ and Total Particulate emission rate (lb/hr):		
	m	80.540 total PM lb/hr
	=	16.595 >PM ₁₀ lb/hr
$E_p = C_s \times Q_{std}$	=	59.005 filterable PM ₁₀ lb/hr
	=	4.940 condensible PM ₁₀ lb/hr
	=	63.945 PM ₁₀ lb/hr
	æ	2.238 total PM lb/1000lb coke burn
(E.)(4000)	=	0.461 >PM ₁₀ lb/1000lb coke burn
$pmr_{lb/s000lb cokeburn} = \frac{\left(E_{p}\right)(1000)}{\left(R_{r}\right)}$	æ	1.639 filterable PM ₁₀ lb/1000lb coke burn
(κ_c)	=	0.137 condensible PM ₁₀ lb/1000 coke burn

0.137 condensible PM₁₀ lb/1000 coke burn

1.777 PM₁₀ lb/1000 coke burn

USEPA Method 2 Volumetric Flow Rate Sample Calculations (Circular Ducts)

Client:

BP

Location:

Whiting, IN

Source:

FCCU 500 5/27/2020

Date: Run #:

2-A

Data Input

Carbon Dioxide (CO₂): 19.0 % Oxygen (O2): 1.7 % Nitrogen (N2): 79.3 %

Fractional Moisture Content (Bwo)

0.1949 Stack Temperature (T_s): 513.6 °F Pitot Coefficient (Cp):

0.84 dimensionless Average square root of ΔP 1.0644 inches H₂O Barometric Pressure (P_{bar}): 29.29 inches Hg Static Pressure (S_t) -1.00 inches H₂O Stack diameter: 108.00 inches H₂O

Stack area (As):

63.6172 ft²

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Dry molecular weight of stack gas:

$$M_{d} = (0.44 \times \%CO_{2}) + (0.32 \times \%O_{2}) + (0.28 \times \%N_{2})$$

31.108 lb/lb-mole

Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis:

$$M_s = (M_d \times (1 - B_{ws})) + (18 \times B_{ws})$$

28.553 lb/lb-mole

Absolute stack gas pressure:

$$P_s = P_{bar} + \left(\frac{S_t}{13.6}\right)$$

29.216 inches H₂O

Stack gas velocity:

$$V_s = 85.49 \times C_p \times \sqrt{\Delta P} \times \sqrt{\frac{\left(T_s + 460\right)}{\left(P_s \times M_s\right)}}$$

82.575 feet/second

Stack gas volumetric flow rate:

$$Q_a = A_s \times V_s \times 60$$

315,193 acfm

Stack gas volumetric flow rate, wet basis:

$$Q_{sw} = Q_a \times \left[\left(\frac{528^{\circ}R}{29.92 \text{in.Hg}} \right) \times \left(\frac{P_s}{T_s + 460} \right) \right]$$

166,915 scfm

$$Q_{sw} = Q_a \times \left[\left(\frac{528^{\circ}R}{29.92 in.Hg} \right) \times \left(\frac{P_s}{T_s + 460} \right) \right] \times 60$$

10,014,892 scfh

Stack gas volumetric flow rate, dry basis:

$$Q_{std} = Q_{sw} \times (1 - B_{wo})$$

=

134,379 dscfm

$$Q_{std} = Q_{sw} \times (1 - B_{wo}) \times 60$$

8,062,711 dscfh

USEPA Method 4 Moisture Determination Sample Calculations

30.180 ft³

Client:

BP

Location:

Whiting, IN FCCU 500

Source: Date:

5/27/2020

Run #:

2-A

Data Input:

Volume metered (V_m):

Meter calibration coefficient (Yd): 0.995 dimensionless Barometric pressure (Pbar): 29.29 inches Hg Meter sample rate (ΔH): 0.37 inches H₂O 101.6 °F

Meter inlet/outlet temperature (T_m):

Volume of moisture collected (Vic): 142.3 milliliters Stack Temperature (T_s): 513.6 °F

Static Pressure (St): -1.0 inches H₂O

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Volume of sample, dry basis:

$$Vm_{std} = V_m \times Y_d \times \left(\frac{528.0^{\circ} R}{29.92" Hg}\right) \times \left(\frac{P_{ber} + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}}{T_m + 460}\right) = 27.664 dscf$$

Volume of water vapor in sample:

$$Vw_{std} = \frac{0.04707ft^3}{ml} \times V_{lc}$$
 = 6.698 scf

Fractional moisture content of stack gas:

$$B_{wo} = \frac{VW_{std}}{(VM_{std} + VW_{std})} = 0.1949 B_{wo}$$

Percent Moisture:

$$%$$
moisture = $B_{wo} \times 100$ = 19.49 %

Fractional moisture content of stack gas at saturated conditions:

$$T_{s(^{\circ}K)} = ((T_s - 32) * 0.5556) + 273$$
 = 540.6 °Kelvin

$$P_{s(mmHg)} = \left(P_{bar} + \frac{S_t}{13.6}\right) \times 25.401$$
 = 744.00 mm Hg

$$B_{wos} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(10^{\left(A \left(\frac{B}{(T_{s(rk)} \cdot C)}\right)}\right)}}{P_{s(mmHg)}} \qquad B_{=1893.5} = 1.00$$

Percent moisture at saturated conditions:

$$%$$
moisture_{saburated} = B_{wos} × 100 = 100.00 %

Percent moisture used for emissions calculations:

19.49 %

USEPA Method 201A PM₁₀ Emissions D₅₀ Cutpoint Calculation Summary

Client:

BP

Location:

Whiting, IN

Source: Date:

FCCU 500 5/27/2020

Run#:

Data Input

Stack temperature (T_s): Fractional Moisture content (B_{ws}):

513.6 °F 0.1949 1.7 %

Oxygen (O₂):

29.22 Inches Hg Abs.

Stack pressure (Ps): Volume metered (Vm_{std}):

27.664 dsct 6.698 scf

Volume of water vapor (Vw_{std}): Molecular weight of gas, wet basis (Ms):

28.553 lb/lb-mole 90.70 minutes

Test length (θ):

10.0 microns

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Stack gas viscosity:

$$\mu = -150.3162 + \left(13.4622 \times \sqrt{(T_s + 460)}\right) + \left(3.86153 \times 10^6 \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(0.591123 \times (\%O_{2, wet})\right) - \left(91.9723 \times B_{ws}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times B_{ws} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left$$

259.50 micropoise

Sample flow rate @ standard conditions:

$$Q_{sSt} = \frac{V_{mstd}}{\Theta}$$

0.305 dscfm

Sample flow rate through PM 10 cyclone:

$$Q_s = \frac{29.92}{528} \times Q_{sst} \times \left(\frac{1}{(1-B_{ws})}\right) \times \left(\frac{[T_s + 460]}{P_s}\right)$$

0.715 cfm

Calculated Reynolds Number

$$N_{re} = 8.64 \times 10^{5} \times \left(\frac{P_{s} \times M_{s}}{\left(T_{s} + 460\right)} \right) \times \left(\frac{Q_{s}}{\mu} \right)$$

2041

Cunningham Correction Factor

$$C = 1 + 0.0057193 \times \left(\frac{\mu}{P_s \times D_p}\right) \times \left(\left(\frac{[T_s + 460]}{M_s}\right)^{0.50}\right)$$

1.0297

D $_{50}$ cutpoint (for Cyclone I):

$$D_{50} = \left(0.15625 \times \left(\frac{\left[T_s + 460\right]}{\left(M_s \times P_s\right)}\right)^{0.2091}\right) \times \left(\frac{\mu}{Q_s}\right)^{7091}$$

10.540 μm

USEPA Method 202 Condensible Particulate Calculation Summary

COMPANY: BP

LOCATION: Whiting, IN SOURCE: FCCU 500 TEST DATE: 5/27/20 RUN NUMBER: 2-A

Data Input:

V _m :	30.180	ft ³	Q _s :	134,379	dscfm
γ FACTOR:	0.995		T _s :	513.6	°F
P _{bar} :	29.29	in.Hg	Runtime:	90.70	minutes
Δ H :	0.37	in.H₂O	V _s :	82.575	ft/sec
T _m :	101.6	°F	P _s :	29.22	in.Hg
V _{ic} :	142.3	mL	Noz. diam:	0.164	inches
N:	0.0000		m _{ib} :	0.00	mg
V _t :	0.00	mL	m _{ob} :	0.00	mg
m _r :	4.70	mg			
m _o :	0.80	mg			

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Volume of sample at standard conditions:

$$V_{mstd} = \left(\frac{528}{29.92}\right) \times V_{m} \times \gamma \left[\frac{P_{bar} + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}}{T_{m}}\right] = 27.664 \quad dscf$$

Mass of ammonia correction:

$$m_c = 17.03 \times V_T \times N$$
 = 0.00 mg

Mass of the field blank:

$$m_{fb} = m_{ib} + m_{ob} \qquad = \qquad \qquad 0.00 \qquad \text{mg}$$

Mass of inorganic condensible PM:

$$m_{_{\rm i}}=m_{_{\rm r}}-m_{_{\rm c}}$$
 = 4.70 mg

Total mass of condensible PM:

$$m_{\text{cpm}} = m_{\text{i}} + m_{\text{o}} - m_{\text{fb}} \qquad = \qquad \qquad 5.50 \qquad \text{mg}$$

USEPA Method 201A PM₁₀ Emissions **Particulate Calculation Summary**

Client: Location: Source: Date:

Whiting, IN FCCU 500 5/27/2020

Data	Input

Run #:

Barometric pressure (Pbar):	29.29 inches Hg	Particulate Weight:	
Stack pressure (Ps):	29.22 Inches Hg Abs.	<pm10 (filterable)<="" td=""><td>83.80 milligrams</td></pm10>	83.80 milligrams
Test length (θ):	90.70 minutes	>PM10 (Cyclone Catch)	22.30 milligrams
Sample nozzle diameter (D _n):	0.1640 inches		•
Sample nozzle area (A _n):	0.000147 ft ³	<pm10 (probe="" td="" wash)<=""><td>5.15 milligrams</td></pm10>	5.15 milligrams
Stack temperature (T _s):	513.6 °F	Total PM ₁₀ front half:	88.95 milligrams
Volume metered (Vm _{std}):	27.664 ft ³		•
Stack gas velocity (V _s):	82,575 feet/second	Total PM front half	111.25 milligrams
Stack gas volumetric flow (Q _{std}):	8,062,711 dscf/hour	Total corrected PM ₁₀ back half:	5.50 milligrams
Fractional moisture content (B _{wo}):	0.1949	·	•
Coke Burn Rate (R _c):	35,986 lb/hr	Total PM ₁₀ weight (M _n):	94.45 milligrams
		Total PM weight (M _n):	116.75 milligrams (>PM ₁₀ + PM ₁₀)

ercent Isokinatic;		
$\% \text{lsokinetic} = \frac{0.0945 \times \text{Vm}_{\text{atd}} \times (\text{T}_{\text{s}} + 460)}{\text{P}_{\text{a}} \times \text{V}_{\text{a}} \times 0 \times \text{A}_{\text{A}} \times (1 - \text{B}_{\text{wo}})}$	= 98.5 % isoki	
$P_s \times V_s \times \theta \times A_n \times (1 - B_{wo})$	= 98.5 % isoki	netic
M ₁₀ and Total Particulate emission rate (lb/dscf):		
	= 0.0651 total Př	M gr/dscf
	= 0.0124 >PM ₁₀ g	gr/dscf
(0.01543grains × M _a)	= 0.0496 filterab	le PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
$C_s = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 0.01543 \text{grains} \times M_n \\ \text{mg} \end{pmatrix}}{V_{\text{out}}}$	= 0.0031 conden	sible PM ₁₀ gr/dscf
V _{mstd}	= 0.0527 PM ₁₀ gr	dscf
	= 149.041 total PN	/I mg/dscm
	= 28.468 >PM ₁₀ r	ng/dscm
$C_s = \frac{M_n}{V_{\text{max}}} \times \frac{35.315 \text{ft}^3}{m^3}$	= 113.552 filterab	le PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
V _{mstd} m ³	= 7.021 conden	sible PM ₁₀ mg/dscm
	= 120.573 PM ₁₀ m	g/dscm
	= 9.306 x 10 ⁻⁶ to	otal PM lb/dscf
	= 1.777 x 10 ⁻⁶ >	PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
$\left(\frac{2.205 \times 10^{-6} \text{lb}}{2.205 \times 10^{-6} \text{lb}} \times \text{M}\right)$	= 7.090 x 10 ⁻⁶ til	Iterable PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
$E_{p} = \frac{\left(\frac{2.205 \times 10^{-6} \text{lb}}{\text{mg}} \times \text{M}_{n}\right)}{1.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{lb}}$	= 0.438 x 10 ⁻⁶ co	ondensible PM ₁₀ lb/dscf
V_{nistd}	= 7.528 x 10 ⁻⁶ Pi	
M ₁₀ and Total Particulate emission rate (lb/hr):		
	= 75.030 total PM	/ lb/hr
	= 14.331 >PM ₁₀	b/hr
$E_p = C^1_s \times Q_std$	= 57.164 filterab	le PM ₁₀ lb/hr
	= 3.535 conden	sible PM ₁₀ lb/hr

60.699 PM₁₀ lb/hr

2.085 total PM lb/1000lb coke burn 0.398 >PM₁₀ lb/1000lb coke burn

1.687 PM₁₀ lb/1000 coke burn

1.589 filterable PM₁₀ lb/1000lb coke burn 0.098 condensible PM₁₀ lb/1000 coke burn

 $pmr_{lb/1000lbcokeburn} = \frac{\left(E_{p}\right)(1000)}{\left(R_{c}\right)}$

USEPA Method 2 Volumetric Flow Rate Sample Calculations (Circular Ducts)

Client:

BP

Location:

Whiting, IN FCCU 500

Source: Date:

5/27/2020

Run #:

2-B

Data Input

 Carbon Dioxide (CO2):
 19.0 %

 Oxygen (O2):
 1.7 %

 Nitrogen (N2):
 79.3 %

 Fractional Moisture Content (Bwo)
 0.1998

 Stack Temperature (T1):
 510.0 %

Fractional Moisture Content (B_{wo}) 0.1998 Stack Temperature (T_s): 510.0 °F Pitot Coefficient (C_p): 0.84 dimensionless

Average square root of ΔP 1.0954 inches H_2O Barometric Pressure (P_{bar}): 29.29 inches Hg Static Pressure (S_t) -0.95 inches H_2O Stack diameter: 108.00 inches H_2O

Stack area (A_s):

63.6172 ft²

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Dry molecular weight of stack gas:

$$M_d = (0.44 \times \%CO_2) + (0.32 \times \%O_2) + (0.28 \times \%N_2)$$

31.108 lb/lb-mole

Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis:

$$M_s = (M_d \times (1 - B_{ws})) + (18 \times B_{ws})$$

28.488 lb/lb-mole

Absolute stack gas pressure:

$$P_s = P_{bar} + \left(\frac{S_t}{13.6}\right)$$

29.220 inches H₂O

===

=

Stack gas velocity:

$$V_s = 85.49 \times C_p \times \sqrt{\Delta P} \times \sqrt{\frac{\left(T_s + 460\right)}{\left(P_s \times M_s\right)}}$$

84.914 feet/second

Stack gas volumetric flow rate:

$$Q_a = A_s \times V_s \times 60$$

324,118 acfm

Stack gas volumetric flow rate, wet basis:

$$Q_{sw} = Q_a \times \left[\left(\frac{528^{\circ}R}{29.92in.Hg} \right) \times \left(\frac{P_s}{T_s + 460} \right) \right]$$

172,300 scfm

$$\textbf{Q}_{sw} = \textbf{Q}_{a} \times \left[\left(\frac{528^{o}R}{29.92 in.Hg} \right) \times \left(\frac{P_{s}}{T_{s} + 460} \right) \right] \times 60$$

10,337,994 scfh

Stack gas volumetric flow rate, dry basis:

$$Q_{std} = Q_{sw} \times (1 - B_{wo})$$

137,867 dscfm

$$Q_{std} = Q_{sw} \times (1 - B_{wo}) \times 60$$

8,272,031 dscfh

USEPA Method 4 Moisture Determination Sample Calculations

154.1 milliliters

Client:

BP

Location:

Whiting, IN FCCU 500

Source: Date:

5/27/2020

Run #:

5/27/202 2-B

Data Input:

Volume metered (V_m): 32.124 ft³

Meter calibration coefficient (Y_o): 1.009 dimensionless Barometric pressure (P_{bar}): 29.29 inches Hg

Meter sample rate (ΔH): 0.30 inches H₂O Meter inlet/outlet temperature (T_m): 117.3 °F

Volume of moisture collected (V_{ic}): Stack Temperature (T_s):

 Stack Temperature (T_s):
 510.0 °F

 Static Pressure (St):
 -1.0 inches H₂O

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Volume of sample, dry basis:

$$Vm_{std} = V_m \times Y_d \times \left(\frac{528.0^{\circ} R}{29.92" Hg}\right) \times \left(\frac{P_{bar} + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}}{T_m + 460}\right)$$
 = 29.043 dscf

Volume of water vapor in sample:

$$VW_{std} = \frac{0.04707ft^3}{ml} \times V_{lc} = 7.253 \text{ scf}$$

Fractional moisture content of stack gas:

$$B_{wo} = \frac{VW_{std}}{(VM_{std} + VW_{std})} = 0.1998 B_{wo}$$

Percent Moisture:

$$%$$
moisture = $B_{wo} \times 100$ = 19.98 %

Fractional moisture content of stack gas at saturated conditions:

$$T_{s(eK)} = ((T_s - 32) * 0.5556) + 273$$
 = 538.6 °Kelvin

$$P_{s(mmHg)} = \left(P_{bar} + \frac{S_t}{13.6}\right) \times 25.401$$
 = 744.00 mm Hg

$$B_{\text{wo s}} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(10^{\left(A \left(\frac{B}{(T_{\text{s(rx)}}-C)}\right)}\right)}}{P_{\text{s(mmHg)}}} \qquad B=1893.5 \\ C=27.65$$

Percent moisture at saturated conditions:

%moisture_{saturated} =
$$B_{wos} \times 100$$
 = 100.00 %

Percent moisture used for emissions calculations:

= 19.98 %

USEPA Method 201A PM₁₀ Emissions D₅₀ Cutpoint Calculation Summary

Client:

Location: Source:

Whiting, IN FCCU 500

Date:

5/27/2020

Run#:

2-B

Data Input

Stack temperature (Ts):

Fractional Moisture content (Bws):

510.0 °I 0.1998 1.7 %

Oxygen (O₂): Stack pressure (Ps):

29.22 Inches Hg Abs.

Volume metered (Vm_{std}):

29.043 dscf

Volume of water vapor (Vw_{std}):

7.253 scf

Molecular weight of gas, wet basis ($M_{\rm s}$):

28.488 lb/lb-mole 93.30 minutes

Test length (θ): D_p:

10.0 microns

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

$$\mu = -150.3162 + \left(13.4622 \times \sqrt{(T_s + 460)}\right) + \left(3.86153 \times 10^6 \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(0.591123 \times (\%O_{2, \text{wot}})\right) - \left(91.9723 \times B_{\text{ws}}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times 10^{-5} \times B_{\text{ws}} \times (T_s + 460)^{-2}\right) + \left(1.51761 \times B_{\text{$$

258.3 micropoise

Sample flow rate @ standard conditions:

$$Q_{\text{sSt}} = \frac{V_{\text{mstd}}}{\theta}$$

0.311 dscfm

Sample flow rate through PM 10 cyclone:

$$Q_s = \frac{29.92}{528} \times Q_{sst} \times \left(\frac{1}{(1-B_{ws})}\right) \times \left(\frac{[T_s + 460]}{P_s}\right)$$

0.732 cfm

Calculated Reynolds Number

$$N_{re} = 8.64 \times 10^{5} \times \left(\frac{P_s \times M_s}{\left(T_s + 460\right)} \right) \times \left(\frac{Q_s}{\mu} \right)$$

2100

Cunningham Correction Factor

$$C = 1 + 0.0057193 \times \left(\frac{\mu}{P_s \times D_p}\right) \times \left(\left(\frac{\left[T_s + 460\right]}{M_s}\right)^{0.50}\right)$$

1.030

D $_{50}$ cutpoint (for Cyclone I):

$$D_{50} = \left(0.15625 \times \left(\frac{\left[T_{s} + 460\right]}{\left(M_{s} \times P_{s}\right)}\right)^{0.2091}\right) \times \left(\frac{\mu}{Q_{s}}\right)^{7.091}$$

10.336 μm

USEPA Method 202 Condensible Particulate Calculation Summary

COMPANY: BP

LOCATION: Whiting, IN SOURCE: FCCU 500 TEST DATE: 5/27/20 RUN NUMBER: 2-B

Data Input:

V _m :	32.124	ft ³	Q_s :	137,867	dscfm
γ FACTOR:	1.009		T _s :	510.0	°F
P _{bar} :	29.29	in.Hg	Runtime:	93.30	minutes
ΔH :	0.30	in.H₂O	V _s :	84.914	ft/sec
T _m :	117.3	°F	P _s :	29.22	in.Hg
V _{Ic} :	154.1	mL	Noz. diam:	0.164	inches
N:	0.0000		m _{ib} :	0.00	mg
V _t :	0.00	mL	m _{ob} :	0.00	mg
m _r :	5.40	mg			
m _o :	0.90	mg			

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Volume of sample at standard conditions:

$$V_{mstd} = \left(\frac{528}{29.92}\right) \times V_{m} \times \gamma \left[\frac{P_{bar} + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}}{T_{m}}\right] = 29.043 \quad dscf$$

Mass of ammonia correction:

$$m_c = 17.03 \times V_T \times N$$
 = 0.00 mg

Mass of the field blank:

$$m_{_{fb}} = m_{_{ib}} + m_{_{ob}} \qquad \qquad = \qquad \qquad 0.00 \qquad \text{mg}$$

Mass of inorganic condensible PM:

$$m_i = m_r - m_c$$
 = 5.40 mg

Total mass of condensible PM:

$$m_{\text{opm}} = m_{\text{i}} + m_{\text{o}} - m_{\text{fb}} \qquad = \qquad \qquad \text{6.30} \qquad \text{mg}$$

USEPA Method 201A PM10 Emissions Particulate Calculation Summary

Client:

BP

Location: Source: Whiting, IN FCCU 500 5/27/2020

Date: Run #:

2-B

Data Input

Barometric pressure (P _{bar}):	29.29 inches Hg	Particulate Weight:	
Stack pressure (P _s):	29.22 Inches Hg Abs.	<pm10 (filterable)<="" td=""><td>79.50 milligrams</td></pm10>	79.50 milligrams
Test length (θ):	93.30 minutes	>PM10 (Cyclone Catch)	28.60 milligrams
Sample nozzle diameter (D _n):	0.1640 inches	•	· ······
Sample nozzie area (A _n):	0.000147 ft³	<pm10 (probe="" td="" wash)<=""><td>4.05 milligrams</td></pm10>	4.05 milligrams
Stack temperature (T _s):	510.0 °F	Total PM ₁₀ front half:	83.55 milligrams
olume metered (Vm _{std}):	29.043 ft ³		· ·
itack gas velocity (V _s):	84.914 teet/second	Total PM front half	112.15 milligrams
tack gas volumetric flow (Q _{std}):	8,272,031 dsct/hour	Total corrected PM ₁₀ back half:	6.30 milligrams
ractional Moisture content (B _{wo}):	0.1998	.	
Coke Burn Rate (R _c):	35,986 lb/hr	Total PM ₁₀ weight (M _n):	89.85 milligrams
		Total PM weight (M _n):	118.45 milligrams (>PM ₁₀ + PM ₁₀)

Sample calculations @ standard conditions (29.92 inches Hg, 68.0 °F):

Percent	

% sokinetic =	$0.0945 \times Vm_{std} \times (T_s + 460)$
701 dOKING LIC	$P_s \times V_s \times \theta \times A_n \times (1 - B_{wo})$

PM to and Total Particulate emission rate (lb/dscf):

$$C_s = \frac{\left(\frac{0.01543 grains}{mg} \times M_n\right)}{V_{mstd}}$$

$$C_s = \frac{M_n}{V_{mstd}} \times \frac{35.315\,\text{ft}^3}{m^3}$$

$$E_p = \frac{\left(\frac{2.205 \times 10^{-6} \, lb}{mg} \times M_n\right)}{V_{mstd}}$$

 \textit{PM}_{10} and Total Particulate emission rate (lb/hr):

$$\mathsf{E}_\mathsf{p} = \mathsf{C}^{1}{}_\mathsf{s} \times \mathsf{Q}_\mathsf{std}$$

$$pmr_{ib/1000ib\,coke\,burn} = \frac{\left(E_{p}\right)\left(1000\right)}{\left(R_{o}\right)}$$

 $\frac{\times \vee M_{\text{add}} \times (T_{\text{s}} + 460)}{\times \theta \times A_{\text{p}} \times (1 - B_{\text{un}})} =$

98.0 % isokinetic

0.0629 total PM gr/dscf
0.0162 >PM₁₀ gr/dscf
0.0444 filterable PM₁₀ gr/dscf
0.0033 condensible PM₁₀ gr/dscf
0.0477 PM₁₀ gr/dscf

144.032 total PM mg/dscm
34.777 >PM₁₀ mg/dscm
101.594 filterable PM₁₀ mg/dscm
7.661 condensible PM₁₀ mg/dscm
109.256 PM₁₀ mg/dscm

 8.993×10^{-6} total PM lb/dscf 2.171×10^{-6} > PM₁₀ lb/dscf 6.343×10^{-6} filterable PM₁₀ lb/dscf 0.478×10^{-6} condensible PM₁₀ lb/dscf 6.822×10^{-6} PM₁₀ lb/dscf

= 74.391 total PM lb/hr = 17.962 >PM₁₀ lb/hr = 52.472 filterable PM₁₀ lb/hr = 3.957 condensible PM₁₀ lb/hr

2.067 total PM lb/1000lb coke burn 0.499 >P M_{10} lb/1000lb coke burn 1.458 filterable P M_{10} lb/1000lb coke burn 0.110 condensible P M_{10} lb/1000 coke burn

56.429 PM₁₀ lb/hr

1.668 PM₁₀ lb/1000 coke burn

ATTACHMENT B FIELD DATA



WESH Method 2014 Field Datasheet (Isokinetic)

MONTROSE

Ref. 'F					,			Continuity w/ Proper Polarity		VOLUMB	Pump Vacuum,	g . F	vv	360	N	3	8	6	3	61	1 ,J	6	2									
Ambient °F								finuity w/ Pr		START	N. M.		70	0.0	F	73	74	76	78	26	1/2	1 W	14									
TC ID:							ter ID	prosent .	A STA	5 75	Dry Gas Meter Temperature, °F	Outlet	20	10	60	16	26	23	26	56	76	10	16,								及	ſ
ALT 011 T	Probe	Filter Box	Filter Exit	Meter outlet	Impinger Exit	Other	Ref. Thermometer ID	Confinuity Check	Notes:	49.4			5,00	101	401	101	111	113	HI	611	4/1	シジ	411	,								(
AL	P.F.	Ħ	Ħ		-	2	pass				Impinger Exit	r diub, r	Je.	960	3	9	19	63	65	S	2	から	63									
8	7-8			Post	9	3	2	Z Z	0,000 @ C	1			272	230	230	225	336	230	238	336	333	27.5	838									
emo. °F	eter ID	Precipitation, Y / Oype	20	Mid	\ @	/ @	y pass] pass	0	1	Filter Temp, °F	Box	250	1			10	257	7		255		,									
Ambient Temp. °F	Ref. Barometer ID	Precipitatio	24822			5.3	s	s	\ \ \		Probe Temp,	. !	_ 13	256	,		`				7,0	ジナグ	Che									
0.	25.27		記す	Pre	ر الا		dass	bass	D.800 @15	ume, ft³	Stack Temp, Pr	L .	d >		16	0/	11 2	Ta	2	2	, C1	8	. 81								Kind	7
1				cks	in. H ₂ 0	in. H ₂ O	ction	pection		check vo		П	-	+	S	10	5	6	S S	35 5	5 5	v V	5 5	>		_	-	-			4	\
ng Conditions	ssure, in. F	direction	Filter Temp Range, °F 🗣	Equipment Checks	Pitot (+), pass @ in. H ₂ O	Pitot (-), pass @ in. H2O	Pitot visual inspection	Nozzle visual inspection	Meter, ofm @ in. Hg	ediate lea	Orifice Pressure Differential, AH	Actual	55, 2,5	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	.35			•	•		*	35	8.								285	7:
Sampling Conditions Static Pressure, in, H-C	Barometric Pressure, in. Hg	Wind Speed / Direction	oe / Filter To	Equip	Pitot (Pitot (Pitot v	Nozzie	Meter,	Interm	Orifice	Target	35	35	.35	.35	38.	.35	. 35	35'	52.	. 35	55.	•								
	T	Win	Probe / F	9	पंपंऽ	1.84	79	, 8M	el 🛮 yes		Velocity Head,	2111112	<u>.</u>	- 76	/. 1	1.1	(, (1.1	6	6	•		, canons		***************************************						***************************************	7
.748176				4	3 .	9, in. H ₂ O	er, Dn, in.	ıt, Cp	ro and lev	9.47		٦	*								***************************************											1
6 2 u.g.s.		025 C	M/C . 1 /	Calibration	Meterbox Y	Meterbox ∆H@, in. H ₂ O	Nozzle diameter, Dn, in.	Pitot coefficient, Cp	Manometer ze	K-Factor 4.47	DGM Reading,	2000	10 x 20 x	566.60	559.98	563.25	766.67	569.74	573.96	66.965	19.61	28. CX	11:985	539.350							MTX	1
7. Project #	1,1	27	Operator		8			T.	S.) 00	Clock Time		0400	0110	0470 5	9426	0440 8	0380 5			1020	1030		Joso 🚣								
, ,	7	e Location	1	Sampling Equipment IDs	6 K 2	32	W	0 C66"		0.0	Elapsed C	p (100	2	40:16		_		80.80	15.00	<u>~</u>	110:34	120:20								
Project Information	Customer/Facility	nit ID/Sampl	Run# 119	ampling Eq	Meterbox ID	Umbilical ID	Nozzle ID	Pitot / Probe ID	Manometer ID	Sensitivity	Traverse	* 1 .	4	-	-23	7	- 5	_			5	-	1	2000								

2C/H/Lo2 Field Datasheet (Isokinetic) Wethod 2 MONTROSE & CAUTOLITY SERVICES

Tan 18 1.2011

001AS-QMS-FM-225 Pump Vacuum, in. Hg Ref. "F 2 18:45 Ambient °F Q. 200 **%** S S Dry Gas Meter Temperature, °F Inlet | Outlet から <u>_</u> 105.37 T 0 n 00 (00) 2 g G <u>~</u> Ref. Thermometer ID 5 5 5 Impinger Exit ح <u>ک</u> Meter outlet 5 108 30 7 Ĭ, Filter Box -Filter Exit ALT 011 Probe Notes: Stack Other Impinger Exit D V 9 60 5 6 4 *T*. C, 7 @ \(\beta\) D pass 9 200 とから B Filter Temp, °F 250 9 囚 2000 Precipitation, Y 🚯 type 484 Ref. Barometer ID 52 pass pass 7 Ambient Temp, °F Box 253 0 Team Leader 0 0) Ż りてら Stack Temp, Probe Temp, 525 243 りたら かてい 120 2 2 2 27 7 D pass Ssed 2 2% 00 12 四 2 2 2 8.80to F887 24.29 intermediate leak check volume, ft³ 500 (5/0.0) \widehat{v} 0 がら 0/ 50 〇 V SIS 5/0 0 W 510 Ó Pitot (+), pass @ in. H₂O Pitot (-), pass @ in. H₂O Nozzle visual inspection Probe / Filter Temp Range, °F Pitot visual inspection Equipment Checks Meter, ofm @ in. Hg Barometric Pressure, in. Hg Onfice Pressure
Differential, AH
Target Actual £ Specifications Checked By Static Pressure, in. H₂O Wind Speed / Direction Sampling Conditions 104 Manometer zero and level (3 yes 1040 25% 1.009 5 -- 2 7 تے۔ Meterbox $\Delta H @$, in. $H_2 O$ Nozzle diameter, Dn, in. Project Information
Date SIAMAD Project # 074199-748176 Accuracy 3 Pitot coefficient, Cp カンな rotor A DGM Reading, Vm, ft³ 700 2 Meterbox Y 162.020 25.9.5 130,93 0 42.50% Calibration @ 34 1000 1 70 33 201.20 Legibility * Elapsed Clock Time Time 24hr 60:02 **7801** 51.34 63 69.41 10:13 Operator 04.10 04.20 (2.5) 10.92 64.00 U.30 104.71 のも 10,32 10:23 0.0-0.00 QA/QC Check: Completeness 🗸 73 \$0.5°C)hoo) 1500 Sampling Equipment IDs Unit ID/Sample Location 10 to 03.82 シス O 49.0V 130.13 2,56 Customer/Facility O Pitot / Probe ID Manometer ID Sensitivity Meterbox ID Umbilical ID Traverse Point# Nozzle ID Averages

7

MONTROSE ALITY SERVICES

US EAP Method 2014 Field Datasheet (Isokinetic)

2 of 2

001AS-QMS-FM-225 Continuity w/ Proper Polarity Vacuum, in. Hg Ref °F オメメ 5129 Outlet Dry Gas Meter Temperature, °F ST ST 70 8 0 Ref. Thermometer ID TC ID: Confinuity Check Notes: * MTK Impinger Exit Meter outlet Irlet Filter Exit O Filter Box ALT 011 Probe Stack Other Impinger Exit Temp, °F | 0.00 @ S pass pass @ 2. Þ Filter Temp, °F B 3 Precipitation, Y / type pass Box 3 Ref. Barometer ID Ambient Temp, "F ての Pic. 0 S.C. M. KREUKGUK Team Leader **6** 0 Probe Temp, 6 254 250 950 50 88 ر ر 'n pass **©**√pass 0 400 0 Stack Temp, CR CL 0 0 5136 intermediate leak check volume, ft3 O. D 30 25 5 7/5 Pitot (+), pass @ in. H₂O Pitot (-), pass @ in. H₂O Vozzfe visual inspection Pitot visual inspection Probe / Filter Temp Range, °F Equipment Checks Meter, ofm @ in. Hg Barometric Pressure, in. Hg Differential, AH arget Actual Orifice Pressure Checked By Static Pressure, in. H₂O Wind Speed / Direction 8 Sampling Conditions Target 3 6 N Specifications Manometer zero and level Vyes -2 Sich 1.0844 200 Ę 04/84 t-54/20 Meterbox ∆H@, in. H₂O Nozzle diameter, Dn, in. リカロ Accuracy 2 Pitot coefficient, Cp DGM Reading, Meterbox Y Calibration Vm, ft³ 020 596.10 Σ 36 190 20 Clock Time 24hr 75 Project # 472! Operator なり 000 -00 1257 307 1377 むいやごの 7 QA/QC Check: Completeness Sat Sampling Equipment IDs Unit ID/Sample Location 12:09 Elapsed 40.3 70:50 37:08 50:58 Project information
Date 5/27/ 200 54:56 30:12 Time Sustomer/Facility Pitot / Probe ID Manometer ID Sensitivity Meterbox ID Jmbilical ID Traverse Point# Averages Nozzle ID 4

Method Diplom Field Datasheet (Isokinetic)

Page 26 or 28

001AS-QMS-FM-225 Continuity Check Continuity w/ Proper Polarity Ref. °F N M CPN Ambient °F رن مر*ن* 80 RR B 5/27 0 Dry Gas Meter Temperature, °F Outlet 200 ϕ Ref. Thermometer ID 70 D Notes: # MTK 3 Impinger Exit Inlet Meter outlet Filter Box Filter Exit ALT 011 Probe Stack Other 翼声 Impinger B Temp, "I 12 O) ر ک pass pass و 0 0 Ø D E 6 X Filter Temp, °F 口 alpa Precipitation, Y //N, Jype 289 D/pass pass 260 ă Ambient Temp, °F Ref. Barometer ID Team Leader___ 0 0 **@** Probe Temp, ンとス 520 となり となり pass pass そのかん 5 100 . 00 . 00 かっから Stack Temp, 0 Œ D, 0 intermediate leak check volume, ft3 0/0 ာ 210 O Z o v ごら 10,95 o び Z Specifications / Checked By V/A Pitot (+), pass @ In. H₂O Pitot (-), pass @ in. H₂O Nozzle visual inspection Pitot visual Inspection Probe / Filter Temp Range, "F Equipment Checks Meter, cfm @ in. Hg Barometric Pressure, In. Hg .30 Differential, AH arget Actual 30 20 9 300 0,00 Orifice Pressure Static Pressure, in. H₂O Wind Speed / Direction Sampling Conditions Target ş 129 30 8 30 50 30 20 Q. Velocity Head, ΔP in H₂O 200 Manometer zero and level Ayes このなので 3 SO 8 Meterbox AH@, in. H₂O Nozzle diameter, Dn, In. なって Accuracy 1 Pitot coefficient, Cp Project # 374 8 - 12/8 93.186 DGM Reading, Vm, ft³ ते Meterbox Y Calibration v e. So Too T 214, 78 S K-Factor 00 300. QAVQC Check: Completeness V Legibility Clock Time 8421 -339 -339 -339 Operator Š 25% 1308 24hr 6041 K SOC 6 COUL Sampling Equipment IDs Jult ID/Sample Location Date 512/10 Elapsed 31.06 3 72.27 いが Œ Project information Customer/Facility E 0 Pitot / Probe ID Manometer ID Meterbox ID Sensitivity Jmbllical ID Traverse Point# Nozzle ID Averages Ru #

MONTROSE

Customer / F Unit ID / Sam Run # Balance Auc	5/ L7/ acility uple Location IA-2A/	BP WHIT FCC1 10-28	Operator_ ovithin 0.5g of ch	MIC	75 - 7491 /JC/8F	76	Ref. Hygr Field Chec Calip Amb Rela Tem		T-9 T-8 113 Ons (Mobile Lat		
Knockout Impinger 1 Impinger 2 Impinger 3 Impinger 4 Impinger 5 Impinger 6 Impinger 7 Impinger 8 Silica Gel Line Rinse Train Net Gai Nozzle Meas Nozzle 1 dian Nozzle 2 dian Nozzle 3 dian Nozzle Mater Probe Type Probe Liner	Contents N/A N/A H2O S-(o) In (VIc) In eters In e	7. 76 € 2. 76 € rtz □ glas ted □ unhe	D1	GY D2 GY D2 D2 □ tita	Initial 372. § 675. 3 156. § § 96. 4 Is must not be made of the material	761 904 Dre than D3 D3 D3 Inconel	0.004	0,164 0,164 0 other	Initial 379, 3 667, 9 7 20,1 8 42. 3 Average A Average A Average	6697 722.4 8813	Net 142.174, 1.9 2.3 9.0
Filter Number Back Half: Reagent Info Type ACETOLIC DI HEXANE	quartz fi Run quartz fi rmation 000	1:	Run s fiber 1	2: Sa	Teflon/quartz Run Teflon/quartz mple Observati TK 5/29	3: ons			Run :		

Project Information	Equipment Identification
Date 5/27/10 Project # OCMAS-7481	Ref. Thermometer
Customer / Facility Br Whiting	Hygrometer
Unit ID / Sample Location FCCT SCO	Field Balance
Run# LB Operator MK/JC/SF	Check Weights 7-4
	Calipers M-3
Balance Audit (Field balance must be within 0.5g of check weight mass)	Ambient Conditions (Mobile Lab)
Date <u>\$127</u>	Relative humidity, %
Standard mass, g 500, 0	Temperature, °F
Field balance mass, g 444, 4	Mobile lab # T- 8
Moisture Determination	
Run1	Run 2 Run 3
Contents Initial Final Net Initial	Final Net Initial Final Net
Knockout 150 371.8 508.4 137.1	
Impinger 1 MT 8/6/3 804/5 2.7	
Impinger 2 51 684.0 685.) 1.1	
Impinger 3 56 2:0.0 213.2 13.2	
Impinger 4	
Impinger 5	
Impinger 6	
Impinger 7	
Impinger 8	
Silica Gel Silica Gel	
Line Rinse	
Train Net Gain (VIc)	
Nozzle Measurements (Difference between any two measurements must not be	more than 0.004 in (0.1 mm))
Nozzle 1 diametersD1D2	
Nozzle 2 diameters D1 D2	
Nozzle 3 diamelers D1 D2	
	inconel other
	ooled other
Probe Liner ☐ quartz ☐ glass ☐ steel ☐ Teflon ☐ o	ther
Filter Information	
Front Half: ☐ quartz fiber ☐ glass fiber ☐ Teflon ☐ Teflon/quart	T. Other
Filter Number: Run 1: Run 2: Ru	
Back Half: quartz fiber glass fiber Teflon Teflon/quart	z Other
Reagent Information Sample Observe	ations
Type Lot Number	
77-	
	N.
	<i></i>
QA/QC Check: Completeness Legibility Accuracy Specifications	√ ·
Checked by: M. KRUEGER Team Leader:	***************************************

ATTACHMENT C LABORATORY DATA





BP Whiting, IN CLIENT:

LOCATION:

FCU 500 5/27/2020 Particulates SOURCE: SAMPLE DATE: ANALYSIS: METHOD:

USEPA Method 201/202

Analyst: J. Ruggaber page 1 of 2

Date of Completion: 6/5/2020

Template Control ID: USEPA-M201/202-PARTIC-TEMPLATE-62T-REV3 Project Number: 08-1414

Method 201A Filterable PM

Solvent	ŀ	************	;	į	WT 1 - WT 2	%	Particulate	Blank Corrected
Number Mass (g) <u>Tare</u> WT1	Tare	MT1		WT2	(mg)	difference	(mg)	Particulate (mg)
		938.7		938.8	-0.10	A/A	113.55	
31.1 3584.4 3619.2	3619.2			3619.1	0.10	N/A	34.75	34.75
30316 168.1 3620.0 3633.8		3633.8	. ,	3633.5	0.30	A/A	13.65	13.65
- 824.7 943.2	943.2	*********		943.2	0.00	A/N	118.50	ŧ
36.4 3731.5 3767.9	3767.9		, ,	3768.0	-0.10	H A/N	36.45	36.45
96.6 3946.3 3957.3	3957.3		Ì	3957.5	-0.20	N N	11.10	1.10
30333 - 823.7 907.4	907.4			907.6	-0.20	N/A	83.80	
30328 28.8 3956.3 3978.6	3978.6			3978.6	00.0	AN	22.30	22.30
30329 103.1 3929.1 3934.4	3934.4		,	3934.1	0.30	N N	5.15	5,15
30339 + 835.8 915.3	915.3			915.3	00.00	T SZ	79.50	
30334 31.7 3847.3 3875.8 3	3875.8		()	3876.0	-0.20	N S S	28.60	28.60
	3878.9		(')	3879.0	-0.10	AN	4.05	4.05
30340 78.1 3793.8 3793.7	3793.7		ľ	3793.7	00.00	L SZ	<0.10	
					Section of the second section of the second section se			*

M202 Organic Fraction

			7 (mg) 1.60 1.60 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50
	N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A N/A
			0.00 -0.20 -0.20
ļ			
4			
3912.2	3792.8	3792.8 3928.7 3779.2	3792.8 3928.7 3779.2 3547.5
3910.6	3791.3 3928.0	3791.3 3928.0 3778.4	3791.3 3928.0 3778.4 3547.6
30318	30324 30331	30324 30331 30337	30324 30331 30337 30341
Run 1A	Run 1B Run 2A	Run 1B Run 2A Run 2B	Run 1B Run 2A Run 2B Acetone Blank
30324 3791.3 3792.8 3792.8 0.00 N/A	30331 3928.0 3928.7 3928.9 -0.20 N/A	30331 3928.0 3928.7 3928.9 -0.20 N/A 30337 3778.4 3779.2 3779.4 -0.20 N/A	30331 3928.0 3928.7 3928.9 -0.20 N/A 30337 3778.4 3779.2 3779.4 -0.20 N/A 30341 3547.6 3547.5 3547.6 -0.10 N/A

MONTROSE ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

80 LOCATION: CLIENT:

Whiting, IN SOURCE:

FCU 500 5/27/2020 SAMPLE DATE:

Particulates USEPA Method 201/202

Analyst: J. Ruggaber page 2 of 2

Date of Completion: 6/5/2020

Template Control ID: USEPA-M201/202-PARTIC-TEMPLATE-62T-REV3 Project Number: 08-1414

M202 Aqueous Fraction

	LIMS				WT 1 - WT 2	%	Inorganic
Identification	Number	Tare	WT1	WT2	(gm)	difference	CPM (mg)
Run 1A	30317	3489.9	3496.6	3496.8	-0.20	ΑX	6.80
Run 1B	30323	3804.9	3814.1	3814.4	-0.30	N/A	9.35
Run 2A	30330	3919.0	3923.6	3923.8	-0.20	N/A	4.70
Run 2B	30336	3820.3	3825.6	3825.8	-0.20	N/A	5.40
Di Water Blank	30342	3562.9	3563.1	3563.1	00.0	N/A	0.20
				Contract of the Contract of th			

ANALYSIS:

METHOD:



Montrose Air Quality Services Analytical Report

BP-Whiting Whiting, IN FCU-500				Lab Project Project Man Received: Reported:		Flaherty 2020
Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	1-A >PM10 30315			Date Sam Field #;	ipled: 05/27/20 32062)20
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result	Units	Notes
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	34.75	mg	1994 OTTEN STANDARD MANAGEMENT
Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	1-A PW 30316		a presidenti di Allanda de Allanda de Caracteria de Caracteria de Caracteria de Caracteria de Caracteria de Ca	Date Sam Fleld #:	pled: 05/27/20 32063	20
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result	Units	Notes
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	13.65	mg	
Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	1-A Imps 30317			Date Sam Field #:	pled: 05/27/20 32064	20
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result	Units	Notes
Inorganic Residue	USEPA Method 202	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	6.80	mg	***************************************
Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	1-A Organics 30318			Date Sam Field #:	pled: 05/27/20 32065	20
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result	Units	Notes
Organic Residue	USEPA Method 202	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	1,60	mg	***************************************
Sample ID: Lab Sample #;	1-A CPM Filter 30319			Date Sam _l Field #:	pled: 05/27/20 32066	20
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result	Units	Notes
Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	1-A Filter 30320			Date Samp		20
and complete.	VUULU			Field #:	31769	

Page 1 of 5



Montrose Air Quality Services Analytical Report

BP-Whiting Whiting, IN FCU-500				Lab Project #: Project Manager Received: Reported:	08-141- Steve I 5/29/20 6/5/202	laherty 20
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	113.55	mg	
Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	1-B >PM10 30321			Date Sampled: Field #:	05/27/2020 32067)
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result (Jnits	Notes
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	36.45	mg	
Sample ID:	1-B PW			Date Sampled:	05/27/2020)
Lab Sample #:	30322			Field #:	32083	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result L	Inits	Notes
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	11.10	mg	***************************************
Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	1-B Imps 30323	ika dalah darah		Date Sampled: Field #:	05/27/2020 32069	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result L	Inits	Notes
Inorganic Residue	USEPA Method 202	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	9.35	nıg	ri Tinadirina mananananan mananan mananan ma
Sample ID:	1-B Organics			Date Sampled:	05/27/2020	Material Company of the Company of t
Lab Sample #:	30324			Field #:	32070	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result U	nits	Notes
Organic Residue	USEPA Method 202	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	1,50	mg	PPPE (PPE) (Section 1) and the section 1 and 1 a
Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	1-B CPM Filter 30325			Date Sampled: Field #:	05/27/2020 32081	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result U	nits	Notes
Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	1-B Filter			Date Sampled:		
•	30326			Field #:	28175	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result U	nits	Notes



Montrose Air Quality Services Analytical Report

BP-Whiting Whiting, IN FCU-500				Lab Project #: Project Manager Received; Reported:	08-14 ⁻ : Steve 5/29/2 6/5/20	Flaherty 020
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	118.50	mg	
Sample ID:	Hexane Blank			Date Sampled;	05/27/20	20
Lab Sample #:	30327			Field #:	32087	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result (Inits	Notes
Organic Residue	USEPA Method 202	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	<0.10	mg	***************************************
Sample ID:	2-A >PM10			Date Sampled:	05/27/202	20
Lab Sample #:	30328			Field #:	32082	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result L	Inits	Notes
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	22.30	mg	
Sample ID:	2-A PW			Date Sampled:	05/27/202	20
Lab Sample #:	30329			Field #:	32083	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result U	Inits	Notes
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	5.15	mg	
Sample ID:	2-A Imps			Date Sampled:	05/27/202	0
Lab Sample #:	30330			Field #:	32061	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result U	Inits	Notes
Inorganic Residue	USEPA Method 202	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	4.70	mg	
Sample ID:	2-A Organics			Date Sampled:	05/27/202	0
Lab Sample #:	30331			Field #:	32084	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result U	nits	Notes
Organic Residue	USEPA Method 202	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	0.80	mg	
Sample ID:	2-A CPM Filter			Date Sampled:	05/27/202	0
Lab Sample #:	30332			Field #:	32071	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result U	nits	Notes



Montrose Air Quality Services Analytical Report

Analyst

BP-Whiting	
Whiting, IN	
FCU-500	

Lab Project #: Project Manager:

08-1414 Steve Flaherty

Received: Reported:

5/29/2020 6/5/2020

Sample	ID:

2-A Filter

Date Sampled: 05/27/2020

Lab Sample #: 30333 Method

Analysis Date

Field #: 28174 Result

ma

Units

Analyte Particulate

Method 201A

Joel Ruggaber 06/05/2020 63.80

Units Notes

Sample ID: Lab Sample #: 2-B > PM10 30334

Date Sampled: 05/27/2020 Field #: 32072

Analyte Particulate

Method Method 201A

Analyst Analysis Date Joel Ruggaber 06/05/2020

Result 28.60 Notes

Sample ID: Lab Sample #:

2-B PW 30335

Analysis Date

Date Sampled: 05/27/2020 32073

Field #:

Units Notes

Analyte Particulate

Method Method 201A

06/05/2020

Result

mg

Sample ID: Lab Sample #:

2-B Imps 30336

Date Sampled: 05/27/2020 Field #: 32074

Analyte Inorganic Residue Method **USEPA** Method

Analyst Joel Ruggaber

Analyst

Joel Ruggaber

Analysis Date 06/05/2020

Result

Units Notes

202

5.40

Sample ID: Lab Sample #:

2-B Organics 30337

Date Sampled: 05/27/2020 Field #:

32075

Analyte Organic Residue

Method **USEPA Method**

Analyst **Analysis Date** Joel Ruggaber 06/05/2020

Result 0.90 Units

mg

Notes

Sample ID:

2-B CPM Filter 30338

Field #;

Date Sampled: 05/27/2020 32076

Lab Sample #: Analyte

Method

Analyst **Analysis Date** Result

Units Notes

Page 4 of 5

Montrose Air Quality Services Analytical Report

BP-Whiting Whiting, IN FCU-500

Lab Project #: Project Manager: Steve Flaherty

08-1414

Received: Reported: 5/29/2020 6/5/2020

Sample ID: Lab Sample #:	2-B Filter 30339			Date Sam	pled: 05/27/20 28183	20
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result	Units	Notes
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	79.50	mg	•
Sample ID:	201A Acetone Blank			Date Samı	oled: 05/27/20	20
Lab Sample #:	30340			Field #:	32086	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result	Units	Notes
Particulate	Method 201A	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	<0.10	mg	
Sample ID:	202 Acetone Blank			Date Samp	oled: 05/27/20	20
Lab Sample #:	30341			Field #:	32088	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result	Units	Notes
Organic Residue	USEPA Method 202	Joel Ruggaber	06/05/2020	<0.10	mg	With William International States
Sample ID:	Dl Water Blank			Date Samp	oled: 05/27/20	20
Lab Sample #:	30342			Field #:	32085	
Analyte	Method	Analyst	Analysis Date	Result	Units	Notes
-						

Notes:

NA - Sample not tested for this analyte.

- D Value calculated from dilution.
- $\mbox{\it J}$ Value less than the low standard but above the Limit of Detection (LOD). L Sample leaked before receipt.
- H Value greater than the high standard.
- X Quality control deficiency or failure observed.\
- NR Value not reported per project manager request

Page 5 of 5



Sample Receipt Checklist

Client Name:	BP	
Site Location:	Whiting, IN	
Montrose Project Manager:	Steve Flaherty	
Sample Collection Date(s):	5/27/20	
Chain-of-Custody Number(s):	02936, 02934	
Chain-of-Custody Form(s):		
Custody release signatures, dates Preservation code noted: Project information clearly identification Sample information clearly identification Analysis request clearly identified Report tier level noted:	ed: ied:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Sample Containers:		
Quantity of samples match number Container label ID numbers and de All containers received in good container labels are legible all container labels are legible. All sample IDs are unique. Samples received in correct container labels are unique. Samples received within the requision samples received under the fequing sample receipt temperature (°F).	escriptions match COC Indition In containers In co	Yes
Non-Conformances and/or Corrective All of the criteria for sample acceptant	• •	
2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	or have been met.	
Samples Received by: Joel Rugg	gaber / signature	
Date and Time received: 5/29/20 1		

M024AS-748176-RT-589

43 of 46

Form LF0002



Chain of Custody Record Number: ু ু ু ৪৪

24.							
Sab Project No. (Labuse only)	Client Name	erronaise	ClientLocation	*********			
S-7			Salas to the This is		Analysis Request	quest' Preservation Code	ode
A A Stroject Number	WAQS TestP		MAQS Project Magdger	յոք 'ճ։		1 = Ambient Temp.	D.
HAOS Sampler Name	Te ~	dena) C	8	f Contain Type tle, Ba somb)	rent Ten	2 = 4°C (Ice Packs)	iks)
60 Mgineering or Compliance Test Samples	Saldras 1			ainer 1, Bot 1, smi		3 = Dfy Ice 4 = Offber (Noted)	
Labe	Sample of Time of Date Collection ²	~	Sample Identification	JnoJ nteq) mu8		Comments	
	. 4.						***************************************
			J. J. J.	The second secon			***************************************
			The state of the s				***************************************
1 5902 \$	A Company of the Comp	The Market	Down the Party				***************************************
14		September 1			<u> </u>		
of 4					><		
6			The photo				***************************************
		 					addison-minerally app
NI		Z)	The state of the s				***************************************
			10 gr. 7 g	Const. Colombia	>		

		Jan Shi			>		
		1409111	ie Biaic	388	2		
	:						- The state of the
Special Instructions:			(1) Relinquished BY	(2) Religious Species	(3) Relinquished By	shed By SHIPMENT	
		ARRAMATION CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR	(1) Date/Time	(2) Date / Time	(3) Date / Time		A
Date test resuits needed:	6-6-90		(1) Company / Common &	(2) Company からくかートンシンピ	(3) Company	redex Lops	
Reporting level: Eng	Engineering	Compliance	(1) Received By	(2) Received-By	(3) Received By	O	
Route results through:			(1) Date/Ilme	(2) Date/Time	(3) Date / Time	me Seal Applied	
Project manager signature:			(1) Company (V) Children (V)	(2) Company	(3) Company	*	
The second second	The second secon			A construction of the cons	, and the second se		

2 - Time of collection applicable to samples requiring specific holding time limits and/or bag spike recovery determination 1 – Analysis request must be confirmed by project manager signature.

Form LF0001



Chain of Custody Record Number: 02834

Mumber of Containers Container Type
MODULO33MM33MM33M3004404.20
NWWW.0
3058
3
2000
222
(2) Relinquished By
e/Time アヤッシ (名之) (Shote/Jime
(2) Company
(2) Received By
(2) Date / Time
ACO Company

THIS IS THE LAST PAGE OF THIS DOCUMENT

If you have any questions, please contact one of the following individuals by email or phone.

Name: Mr. Steve Flaherty

Title: Midwest District Manager

Email: sflaherty@montrose-env.com

Phone: 847-487-1580 Ext. 12417

Name: Mr. Daniel E. Fitzgerald

Title: Regional Vice President, Midwest/Northeast

Email: dfitzgerald@montrose-env.com

Phone: 847-487-1580 Ext. 12420

